

Operator formulation of non-relativistic scattering theory

S-matrix element:

Transition probability of asymptotic in-state to asymptotic out-state:

$$W(\text{out} \leftarrow \text{in}) = \langle \text{out} | \hat{S} | \text{in} \rangle$$

Properties of problem can give us form for  $\hat{S}$ :

- conservation of energy:  $[\hat{H}_0, \hat{S}] = 0$

(all that is needed is commutation with  $\hat{H}_0$ )

$$\Rightarrow \langle \vec{k}' | \hat{S} | \vec{k} \rangle = (2\pi) \delta(E_{\vec{k}'} - E_{\vec{k}}) \left( \text{stuff} \right)$$

$\uparrow$  plane waves:  $e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}}$        $\uparrow$  convention

The T-matrix element:

This property of  $\hat{S}$  follows from the more general cluster decomposition theorem.

→ For short range potentials, we can expect:  $\hat{S} = \hat{I} + i\hat{T}$

$\uparrow$  convenience.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{k}' | \hat{S} | \vec{k} \rangle &= \langle \vec{k}' | \hat{I} + i\hat{T} | \vec{k} \rangle \\ &= \langle \vec{k}' | \vec{k} \rangle + i \langle \vec{k}' | \hat{T} | \vec{k} \rangle \\ &= \delta^{(3)}(\vec{k}' - \vec{k}) - 2\pi i \delta(E_{\vec{k}'} - E_{\vec{k}}) t(\vec{k}' \leftarrow \vec{k}) \end{aligned}$$

$\leftarrow$  This defines only elements of  $\hat{T}$  for which  $E_{\vec{k}'} = E_{\vec{k}}$

Define scattering amplitude by:

(hence, this is the on-shell  $\hat{T}$ -matrix)

$$f(\vec{k}' \leftarrow \vec{k}) = \underbrace{-2\pi^2 m}_{\text{convention}} t(\vec{k}' \leftarrow \vec{k})$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{k}' | \hat{S} | \vec{k} \rangle &= \delta^{(3)}(\vec{k}' - \vec{k}) - 2\pi i \delta(E_{\vec{k}'} - E_{\vec{k}}) \frac{-\hbar^2}{(2\pi)^2 m} f(\vec{k}' \leftarrow \vec{k}) \\ &= \delta^{(3)}(\vec{k}' - \vec{k}) + \frac{i\hbar^2}{2\pi m} \delta(E_{\vec{k}'} - E_{\vec{k}}) f(\vec{k}' \leftarrow \vec{k}) \end{aligned}$$

Reason for making all these definitions:

Elements of  $\hat{S}$  and  $\hat{T}$  are highly singular functions of  $\vec{k}$  &  $\vec{k}'$  due to  $\delta(E_{\vec{k}} - E_{\vec{k}'})$ .

However,  $f(\vec{k}' \leftarrow \vec{k})$  is well-behaved, and is mathematically more manageable.