

Custodial Isospin Symmetry - Euclidean SO(4)

Higgs doublet has four real degrees of freedom - can be arranged in a column-vector:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \phi^0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \\ \phi_3 + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \\ \phi_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

with transformation rule: $\phi_i \rightarrow (e^{i\alpha^a T^a})_{ij} \phi_j$

where

$$T^1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} | & & & \\ & -i & & \\ & & i & \\ & & & | \\ \hline & & & \\ & i & & \\ & & & \\ & & & | \end{pmatrix}, \quad T^2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} | & & & \\ & -i & & \\ & & -i & \\ & & & | \\ \hline & & & \\ & i & & \\ & & & \\ & & & | \end{pmatrix}, \quad T^3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} | & & & \\ & i & & \\ & & -i & \\ & & & | \\ \hline & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & | \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} | & & & \\ & i/2 & & \\ & & & \\ & & & | \\ \hline & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & | \end{pmatrix}$$

For now, drop Yukawa couplings and set hypercharge $Y=0$.

Higgs Lagrangian reads:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}} = \frac{1}{2} (D_\mu \phi) (D^\mu \phi) - \frac{\mu^2}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\phi^2)^2$$

This is invariant under SO(4) transformations that mix up real components of ϕ

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \\ \phi_4 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \left(e^{\frac{i}{2} \alpha^{kl} (T_V^{kl})} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \\ \phi_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

- form inspired by Lorentz group.

Generators of (Euclidean) Lorentz group are known:

$$(T_V^{kl})_{ij} = -i (\delta^{ki} \delta^{lj} - \delta^{kj} \delta^{li}) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J_3 & -J_2 & \\ & 0 & J_1 & \\ & & 0 & \\ k_1 & k_2 & k_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Algebra of SO(4):

$$[T^{ij}, T^{kl}] = i (\delta^{ik} T^{jl} - \delta^{il} T^{jk} - \delta^{jk} T^{il} + \delta^{jl} T^{ik})$$

"SO(3)_V"

$$J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} | & & & \\ & 0 & -i & \\ & i & 0 & \\ & & & | \\ \hline & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & | \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} | & & & \\ & 0 & & i \\ & & 0 & \\ & -i & & 0 \\ & & & | \\ \hline & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & | \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} | & & & \\ & 0 & -i & \\ & i & 0 & \\ & & & | \\ \hline & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & | \end{pmatrix}$$

Algebra:

$$[J_i, J_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} J_k$$

$$[J_i, K_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} K_k$$

$$[K_i, K_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} J_k$$

"SO(3)_A"

$$K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} | & & & \\ & & & i \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & | \\ \hline & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & | \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} | & & & \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & i \\ & & & | \\ \hline & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & | \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_3 = \begin{pmatrix} | & & & \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & i \\ & & & | \\ \hline & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & | \end{pmatrix}$$

Group theoretically speaking, $SO(4)$ is reducible:

$$SO(4) \simeq SU(2)_{\text{weak}} \times SU(2)_{\text{cus}}$$

[in analogy with L group]

But NOT hermitian conjugates of one another

-one $SU(2)$ is the electroweak isospin

-the other $SU(2)$ is an (approximate) accidental symmetry: Custodial isospin

Generators of weak isospin $SU(2)$

are linear combinations of J_i 's and K_i 's.

Easy task:

$$T^1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -i & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & -i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$T^2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} & & & -i \\ & & & & \\ & & & -i & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$T^3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} & & & i \\ & & & & \\ & & & -i & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(J_1 + K_1)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}(J_2 + K_2)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}(J_3 + K_3)$$

(c.f. the N & N^\dagger of Lorentz group)

(needed to match weak isospin generators)
these minus signs are a pain. just need to be careful
They don't mess up the usual commutation relations:

$$[T^a, T^b] = i\epsilon^{abc} T^c$$

Generators of Custodial isospin $SU(2)$

are the orthogonal combination to T^a 's

$$T_{\text{cus}}^1 = \frac{1}{2}(J_1 - K_1)$$

$$T_{\text{cus}}^2 = \frac{1}{2}(J_2 - K_2)$$

$$T_{\text{cus}}^3 = \frac{1}{2}(J_3 - K_3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & -i \\ & -i & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & -i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} & & & i \\ & & & & \\ & & & -i & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} & & & -i \\ & & & & \\ & & & i & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

custodial transformations implemented:

$$\phi_i = \left(e^{i\alpha^a T_{\text{cus}}^a} \right)_{ij} \phi_j$$

In the $(\phi^+, \phi^-, \phi^0, \phi^{0*})$ basis

$$T_{\text{cus}}^3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

hence, the custodial isospin assignments

		custodial isospin	
		UP	DOWN
Electroweak isospin	UP	ϕ^{0*}	ϕ^+
	DOWN	ϕ^-	ϕ^0

Coupling to Fermions

In the Standard Model, the Yukawa couplings to (one generation of) quarks reads:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Yuk} = -y_u (\epsilon_{ij} H_j) q_i \bar{u} - y_d H_i^\dagger q_i \bar{d} + h.c.$$

$q \equiv$ quark doublet
 $\bar{u} \equiv$ up quark (isospin singlet)
 $\bar{d} \equiv$ down quark (isospin singlet)

where

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon_{ij} H_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \phi^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^0 \\ -\phi^+ \end{pmatrix} \\ H^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^- \\ \phi^{0*} \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}$$

$$= -y_u (\phi^0 \ -\phi^+) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix} \bar{u} - y_d (\phi^- \ \phi^{0*}) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix} \bar{d} + h.c.$$

$$= -y_u (\phi^0 u \bar{u} - \phi^+ d \bar{u}) - y_d (\phi^- u \bar{d} + \phi^{0*} d \bar{d}) + h.c.$$

Here, $q \equiv$ ^{electroweak} isospin doublet \Rightarrow in $SO(4)$ language, must transform like a $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ spinor

The Yukawa couplings break the $SO(4)$ symmetry, but if $y_u = y_d \equiv y$, then we could arrange u and d into a $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ doublet.

Finding generators for $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ representations

Introduce $\sigma_{ab}^i = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, i\mathbb{1})$ and $\bar{\sigma}^{i\dot{a}\dot{b}} = (-\sigma_1, -\sigma_2, -\sigma_3, i\mathbb{1})$
(Euclidean sigma matrices)

where $\sum_{i=1}^4 \sigma_{ab}^i \sigma_{cd}^i = -2\epsilon_{ac}\epsilon_{bd}$, $(\sigma^i \bar{\sigma}^j + \sigma^j \bar{\sigma}^i)_a^b = -2\delta^{ij} \delta_a^b$

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \bar{\sigma}^{i\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\sigma}^{i\dot{c}\dot{d}} = -2\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{c}}\epsilon^{\dot{b}\dot{d}} \quad (\bar{\sigma}^i \sigma^j + \bar{\sigma}^j \sigma^i)^{\dot{a}\dot{b}} = -2\delta^{ij} \delta^{\dot{a}\dot{b}}$$

and $e^{ab} = \epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{b}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ } Matrix inverses
 $e_{ab} = \epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Now, if we say $q_a \rightarrow \left(e^{\frac{i}{2} \alpha^{kl} T_L^{kl}} \right)_a^b q_b$ transformation law of $(Y_2, 0)$
 and $\bar{q}^{\dot{a}} \rightarrow \left(e^{\frac{i}{2} \alpha^{kl} T_R^{kl}} \right)_{\dot{b}}^{\dot{a}} \bar{q}^{\dot{b}}$, " " " $(0, Y_2)$

can find T_L^{kl} and T_R^{kl} by requiring invariance of σ_{ab}^i under L. transformations

$$\sigma_{ab}^i \rightarrow \left(\delta^{ij} + \frac{i}{2} \alpha^{kl} (T_V^{kl})^{ij} \right) \left(\delta_a^c + \frac{i}{2} \alpha^{kl} (T_L^{kl})_a^c \right) \left(\delta_b^{\dot{d}} + \frac{i}{2} \alpha^{kl} (T_R^{kl})_{\dot{b}}^{\dot{d}} \right) \sigma_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^j$$

$$= \sigma_{ab}^i + \frac{i}{2} \alpha^{kl} \left((T_V^{kl})^{ij} \sigma_{ab}^j + (T_L^{kl})_a^c \sigma_{cb}^i + (T_R^{kl})_{\dot{b}}^{\dot{d}} \sigma_{\dot{a}\dot{d}}^i \right) + O(\alpha^2)$$

↑
 stuff in parenthesis must vanish. $(T_V^{kl})^{ij} = i(\delta^{kj} \delta^{li} - \delta^{ki} \delta^{lj})$

$$0 = i(\delta^{li} \sigma_{ab}^k - \delta^{ki} \sigma_{ab}^l) + (T_L^{kl})_a^c \sigma_{cb}^i + (T_R^{kl})_{\dot{b}}^{\dot{d}} \sigma_{\dot{a}\dot{d}}^i$$

Multiply at left by σ_{ee}^i

$$= i(\sigma_{ee}^l \sigma_{ab}^k - \sigma_{ee}^k \sigma_{ab}^l) + (T_L^{kl})_a^c \underbrace{\sigma_{ee}^i \sigma_{cb}^i}_{-2\epsilon_{ec} \epsilon_{eb}} + (T_R^{kl})_{\dot{b}}^{\dot{d}} \underbrace{\sigma_{ee}^i \sigma_{\dot{a}\dot{d}}^i}_{-2\epsilon_{ea} \epsilon_{ed}}$$

$$= i(\sigma_{ee}^l \sigma_{ab}^k - \sigma_{ee}^k \sigma_{ab}^l) - 2\epsilon_{eb} (T_L^{kl})_{ac} - 2\epsilon_{ea} (T_R^{kl})_{bc}$$

symm a↔c symm b↔e

Multiply at left by $\epsilon^{\dot{b}i}$ to kill 3rd term (solving for T_L)

$$0 = i\epsilon^{\dot{b}i} (\sigma_{ee}^l \sigma_{ab}^k - \sigma_{ee}^k \sigma_{ab}^l) - 2\epsilon^{\dot{b}i} \underbrace{\epsilon_{\dot{e}i}}_{+2} (T_L^{kl})_{ac} + 0$$

Multiply at left by ϵ^{ae} to clean up.

$$\Rightarrow (T_L^{kl})_a^c = \frac{i}{4} (\sigma_{ab}^k \bar{\sigma}^{lbc} - \sigma_{ab}^l \bar{\sigma}^{kbc})$$

similarly

$$(T_R^{kl})_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{c}} = \frac{i}{4} (\bar{\sigma}^{kab} \sigma_{bc}^l - \bar{\sigma}^{lab} \sigma_{bc}^k)$$

- can read off J_i s and K_i s: (Mathematika)

Left-handed rep: $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$

$$J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \\ \frac{i}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \\ \frac{i}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad K_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice $T_{\text{cust}}^i = \frac{1}{2}(J_i - K_i) = 0$ as expected.

Right-handed rep: $(0, \frac{1}{2})$

$$J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \\ \frac{i}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{i}{2} \\ -\frac{i}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad K_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $T^i \sim \frac{1}{2}(J_i + K_i) = 0$ as expected.

Electroweak and custodial isospin assignments of quarks

Slight complication: Recall the minus sign when mapping generators of $SU(2)_{\text{weak}}$ to generators of $SO(4)$, in particular:

$$T_{\text{weak}}^3 = -\frac{1}{2}(J_3 + K_3) \quad [\text{and also } T_{\text{weak}}^2 = -\frac{1}{2}(J_2 + K_2)]$$

In $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ -rep, $= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ ← isospin down is 1st component
 ← isospin up is 2nd component

This means we must arrange

quark isospin doublet like: $q_a = \begin{pmatrix} d \\ u \end{pmatrix}$ literally, up-side (isospin) down!

(similarly, $l_a = \begin{pmatrix} e \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}$, up-side down)

Fortunately, we defined $T_{\text{cust}}^3 = +\frac{1}{2}(J_3 - K_3)$, so that we can define the custodial isospin doublet (actually broken by hypercharge and Yukawa coupl.)

$$\bar{q}^a = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u} \\ \bar{d} \end{pmatrix}$$

Yukawa couplings with full $SO(4)$ symmetry:

Invariant combinations are

$$\bar{q}_a [H \cdot \sigma]^{ab} q_b \quad \text{or} \quad q^a [H \cdot \sigma]_{ab} \bar{q}^b \quad \text{(related by passing spinors through one another)}$$

↑
choose this one.

$$q_a = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow q^a = \epsilon^{ab} q_b = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u} \\ -d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\bar{q}^a = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u} \\ \bar{d} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \bar{q}_a = \epsilon_{ab} \bar{q}^b = \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{d} \\ \bar{u} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{y}{\sqrt{2}} Q^a [H \cdot \sigma]_{ab} q^b + \text{h.c.} \quad \text{(single generation of quarks)}$$

$$= \frac{-y}{\sqrt{2}} (u \quad -d) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_3 + i\phi_4 & \phi_1 - i\phi_2 \\ \phi_1 + i\phi_2 & -\phi_3 + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u} \\ \bar{d} \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\equiv -y (u \quad -d) \begin{pmatrix} \phi^0 & \phi^- \\ \phi^+ & -\phi^{0*} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u} \\ \bar{d} \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$= -y (\phi^0 u \bar{u} + \phi^- u \bar{d} - \phi^+ d \bar{u} + \phi^{0*} d \bar{d}) + \text{h.c.}$$

matches SM Yukawa couplings, if $y_u = y_d \equiv y$.

■ Euclidean SO(4) generators

(defining representation)

$$\delta[x_, y_] = \text{KroneckerDelta}[x, y];$$

```
T = Table[Table[i (\delta[k, j] \delta[l, i] - \delta[k, i] \delta[l, j]), {k, 1, 4}, {l, 1, 4}], {i, 1, 4}, {j, 1, 4}];
Table[T[i, j] // MatrixForm, {i, 1, 4}, {j, 1, 4}] // MatrixForm
```

$$\begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\left(\begin{array}{c} + \\ - \\ - \\ + \end{array} \right)$ works just as well
(no sign change)

■ Spinor representation

$$\sigma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \right\};$$

$$\sigma b = \left\{ -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, -\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \right\};$$

$$\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

Checking $\sigma_{ab}^i; \sigma_{cd}^i = -2 \epsilon_{ac} \epsilon_{bd}$

```
Table[Table[Sum[\sigma[i, a, b] \sigma[i, c, d]], {i, 1, 4}], {a, 1, 2}, {c, 1, 2}] // MatrixForm,
{b, 1, 2}, {d, 1, 2}] // MatrixForm
```

$$\begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

Checking $\bar{\sigma}^{iab} \bar{\sigma}^{icd} = -2 \epsilon^{ac} \epsilon^{bd}$

```
Table[Table[Sum[\sigma b[i, a, b] \sigma b[i, c, d]], {i, 1, 4}], {a, 1, 2}, {c, 1, 2}] // MatrixForm,
{b, 1, 2}, {d, 1, 2}] // MatrixForm
```

$$\begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$